

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,266	07/19/2001	Gary D. Jerdee	71163-03	1248
7590 11/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Mark L. Davis P.O. Box 9293	5		JUSKA, (ERYL ANN
Gray, TN 376	515-9293		JUSKA, CHERYL ANN ART UNIT PAPER NUI	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\rightarrow :
Office Action Summa	09/909,266 rv	JERDEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this cor	Cheryl Juska	1771	
Period for Reply	mmunication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi - If the period for reply specified above is less than to - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe secommunication. chirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum statutory period will apply and will expire so reply will, by statute, cause the application to onths after the mailing date of this communicate.	wer, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this considered the second of th	y. ommunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on 24 August 2004		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is non-fina	İ	
3) Since this application is in cond			marite in
closed in accordance with the p	ractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	935 C.D. 11. 453 O.G. 213	: ments 15
isposition of Claims	, ,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 22-26</u> is/are pen	ding in the application		
	is/are withdrawn from considera	tion	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	13/die withdrawn nom considera	uon.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 22-26</u> is/are reje	cted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to re		ient.	
application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to b	v the Evaminer		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/		oted to by the Francisco	
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s) be held in	cied to by the Examiner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	Iding the correction is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	
11) The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner Note the a	office Action or form DT	R 1.121(d).
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	of to by the Examiner. Note the a	mached Office Action of form PT(J-152.
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a classical All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None contributions of a classical All b) ☐ Some contributi	aim for foreign priority under 35 L	l.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
			
	rity documents have been receiv		
3. Copies of the certified con	rity documents have been receiv	ed in Application No	
application from the Intern	etional Burnay (DOT Date 47.04	e been received in this National S	tage
* See the attached detailed Office a	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office a	cuon for a list of the certified copi	es not received.	
Notice of References City (CTC and)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	4) 🔲 Int	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)		tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ner:	/

Application/Control Number: 09/909,266

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1 and 22-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, as set forth in section 4 of the last Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1 and 22-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the cited Peoples patent for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on August 24, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant has not amended the application in an attempt to overcome the above rejections, but merely traverses said rejections. Specifically, applicant traverses the 112, 1st rejection by asserting that the examiner has not considered the specification as a whole (Remarks, paragraph spanning pages 2-3). To the contrary, it is the examiner's position that the teachings of the specification, taken as a whole, do not support the claim limitation of "wherein

Application/Control Number: 09/909,266

Art Unit: 1771

the adhesive material is substantially free of polypropylene." The specification does teach advantages of the inventive copolymers when compared to polypropylene and the working examples of specification do not include polypropylene. However, these teachings are not sufficient for the negative limitation of "substantially free of polypropylene." Applicant also argues that the examiner's reliance upon Ex parte Grasselli is misplaced, since applicant is not merely relying upon the absence of a positive recitation in the working examples, but also the explicit teaching of the advantages of the present invention over polypropylene. The examiner respectfully disagrees. It is reiterated that a mere teaching of an advantage or improvement of the inventive copolymer over polypropylene cannot be construed to mean an adhesive "substantially free of polypropylene." In particular, applicant's claim language of "substantially free of polypropylene" encompasses the presence of some polypropylene. Thus, a blend of a small amount of polypropylene is within the scope of the claim, but a majority blend of polypropylene is not. How does a recitation to advantage of one over the other provide support for some, but not all blends of the two? Therefore, applicant's arguments are unpersuasive and the enablement rejection is maintained.

- 6. With respect to the prior art rejection, applicant notes the typographical error of "103(c)" rather than 103(a). The examiner apologizes for this typo but notes that the correct "103(a)" is cited in section 8 of the Office Action mailed October 23, 2003, from which the last Office Action refers back to.
- 7. Applicant traverses the prior art rejection of the claims by Peoples by asserting the reference fails to teach the inventive ethylene methyl acrylate copolymer blend as an adhesive material located *between the primary and secondary backings* (Remarks, paragraph spanning

Application/Control Number: 09/909,266

Art Unit: 1771

pages 3-4). Since applicant's claims require the adhesive material to bind an upper surface of the secondary backing to the bottom surface of the primary backing, applicant asserts the Peoples reference does not anticipate the present claims. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

8. Specifically, Peoples explicitly teaches the inventive polymeric layer (i.e., thermoplastic barrier coating) can be applied directly to the backloops of the tufts in order to bind said tufts in the primary backing (col. 5, lines 12-24). This embodiment of the polymeric layer, as one skilled in the art readily knows, is well known in the art as an adhesive backcoat that binds the tufts securely in a primary backing. Peoples also teaches applying a textile pad or the like over the lower surface of the polymeric layer opposite the carpet face (col. 5, lines 38-43 and col. 10, lines 14-17). One skilled in the art readily recognizes this textile pad as a secondary backing. Thus, Peoples clearly and explicitly teaches the inventive polymeric layer as an adhesive material located between a tufted primary backing and a secondary backing. Therefore, applicant's traversal is unfounded and the 102/103 rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1771

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER